Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/604,748	MANTONE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tiffany A. Fetzner	2859
All Participants:	Status of Application	: No reply to Office action
(1) Ex. Tiffany A. Fetzner.	(3)	
(2) Attorney Joseph Heino Reg. No. 31,524.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 14 February 2006	Time: <u>3:31pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican	pplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G	SENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur 	n. The examiner will provide a trate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
Ex. Tiltay H. Fetzer AU 2859 571-272-2241		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	olicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner spoke to applicant's representative Attorney Joseph Heino Reg. No. 31,524 on Feb. 14th 2006 to confirm the status of the instant application, and he responded that no reply 10 the Aug. 10th 2005 Office action has been filed and that the case is currently ABANDONED. .